Aging Eyes in a Fast World: Are Our Clinics Designed for Older Patients?

[1-3]Shibal Bhartiya

[1]Clinical Director, Ophthalmology Program Director, Community Outreach & Wellness Marengo Asia Hospitals, Gurgaon and Faridabad, Haryana, India; [2]Research Collaborator, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, USA; [3]Founder, Vision Unlimited, Gurgaon, Haryana, India

Global populations are aging, driving a steady rise in age-related eye diseases such as cataract, macular degeneration, glaucoma, and diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmology now sits at a critical junction, caught between this epidemiological shift and healthcare systems optimized for brief, problem-focused encounters. The resulting mismatch is not merely inconvenient; it undermines care quality and outcomes for the very population most affected by visual impairment: older adults.

A systems perspective shifts the question from "What do older eyes need?" to a more fundamental one: "What must the system do to deliver effective care to older people?" Viewed through this lens, four interconnected domains emerge where ophthalmic clinic design consistently falls short: physical accessibility and wayfinding; clinic processes and flow; the mismatch between clinical measurement and lived visual function; and communication and care coordination in the context of multimorbidity. Each domain presents fixable challenges, but meaningful solutions require coordinated changes across operations, facilities, clinical protocols, and governance.

PHYSICAL ACCESSIBILITY AND WAYFINDING: FOUNDATIONAL BARRIERS

For an older adult with impaired vision, the care pathway begins long before the examination chair. Distant parking, uneven pathways, absent curb cuts, inadequate lighting, and confusing building entrances all influence whether a patient arrives safely and on time. Treating accessibility as a facilities issue rather than a core component of clinical triage represents a systems failure.

Validated tools such as the SiteWise survey allow objective identification of accessibility gaps in outdoor and transitional clinic spaces, enabling targeted improvement rather than ad hoc fixes. Such audits reveal that accessibility deficits are common, measurable, and correctable when approached systematically.[1]

Wayfinding is similarly a clinical, not decorative, concern. Signage with poor contrast, unfamiliar symbols, or complex layouts creates cognitive friction, particularly for older adults with visual or cognitive impairment. This leads to distress, delays, and increased staff workload. Research demonstrates that wayfinding systems designed with participatory input from older users significantly improve comprehension and navigation. These represent high-value, low-cost interventions with immediate operational benefit.[2]

PROCESS AND FLOW: THE FALSE ECONOMY OF THROUGHPUT

Most outpatient ophthalmology clinics prioritize throughput, assuming standardized appointment lengths and predictable workflows. This assumption fails older patients. They often require additional time for medication reconciliation, mobility assistance, caregiver involvement, discussion of polypharmacy, and clarification of treatment plans.

***Corresponding author:**
Shibal Bhartiya – Clinical Director, Ophthalmology, Program Director, Community Outreach & Wellness, Marengo Asia Hospitals, Gurugram, Haryana, India
E-mail: shibalbhartiya@gmail.com

Retrospective analyses of ophthalmology outpatient timestamps demonstrate that total visit durations are frequently longer than clinicians anticipate, particularly when multiple investigations or unaddressed comorbidities are involved.[3] Systems that incentivize rapid turnover generate a false economy: rushed decisions, incomplete counselling, poor adherence, and ultimately, repeat visits.

This tension is especially acute in publicly funded or insurance-driven systems where volume metrics dominate performance dashboards. A systems-based response requires differentiated care pathways. Clinics should proactively identify older patients with frailty, multimorbidity, or high vision-dependency and allocate longer, structured appointment slots. Bundled visits combining functional assessment, medication review, and social needs screening, supported by allied health professionals such as orthoptists or low-vision therapists, can decongest physician time while improving care quality. Such redesign requires realigning managerial incentives away from volume alone toward value and reliability.

MEASUREMENT MISMATCH: WHEN CLINIC ACUITY MISLEADS

A critical blind spot in ophthalmic practice is equating best-corrected visual acuity measured under ideal clinic conditions with real-world visual function. Controlled lighting, low stress, and standardized charts often mask the challenges older patients face at home, where glare, poor illumination, and task complexity degrade functional vision.

Studies show that older adults frequently read two to three lines better in clinic than in their home environments.[4] This discordance explains why patient-reported disability is often dismissed despite apparently "normal" clinic findings. When clinicians rely solely on traditional metrics, they risk underestimating impairment and delaying meaningful intervention.

A systems-level solution is to integrate simple functional assessments into routine workflows. Brief testing of near vision under simulated home lighting, contrast sensitivity with glare, and targeted questions about night vision or mobility provide actionable insight. Discharge advice should then explicitly include environmental modifications such as improved lighting, contrast enhancement, or low-vision aids. These low-cost steps bridge the gap between clinic measurements and lived experience, improving outcomes while reducing unnecessary return visits.

COMMUNICATION, LITERACY, AND MULTIMORBIDITY: CARE IN THE CONVERSATION

Older adults are more likely to have limited health literacy, dual sensory impairment, language barriers, and multiple chronic conditions. Standard ophthalmic educational materials are often written at reading levels far above what many patients can comfortably process. Rushed verbal explanations compound the problem, leaving patients unclear about medication schedules, warning signs, and follow-up plans.

The association between low health literacy and poor adherence to ophthalmic treatment is well established.[5] Communication is therefore not ancillary to care: it is care. For example, eye-drop instructions written at a college reading level are unlikely to be followed accurately by a patient with low vision, hearing impairment, and the cognitive load of managing multiple illnesses.

Multimorbidity further complicates decision-making. Disease-specific guidelines rarely address competing risks or treatment burden, and siloed clinic structures force patients to navigate fragmented care. Scoping reviews consistently emphasize the limitations of single-condition models and advocate for coordinated, person-centred approaches.[6] Ophthalmology cannot remain isolated from this reality. Standardized referral pathways to geriatrics, pharmacy, physiotherapy, and community low-vision services should be routine. Shared decision-making and comprehensive care planning reduce fragmentation and improve safety.

THE UPSTREAM IMPACT: VISION, FRAILTY, AND SYSTEMS THINKING

Vision loss in older adults is not an isolated ophthalmic issue. It is a key driver of falls, social isolation, depression, cognitive decline, and frailty. Recognizing this upstream relationship reframes the ophthalmologist's role: preserving sight is also about protecting mobility, independence, and overall health.

Interdisciplinary models that embed frailty screening within ophthalmic practice and establish rapid referral

routes to geriatric and rehabilitation services show promise in improving outcomes and averting costly downstream crises such as fractures or hospitalizations.[7] From a systems perspective, early, coordinated intervention is not only clinically sound but economically rational.

A SYSTEMS CHECKLIST FOR CLINIC REDESIGN

These steps are not exhaustive, but they represent feasible starting points for clinics seeking to become age-responsive:

1. Measure and map: Conduct an accessibility audit (e.g., SiteWise) and map the patient journey from arrival to discharge. Analyse visit timestamps to identify bottlenecks.
2. Create an older-patient pathway: Implement pre-visit needs checks, allocate longer appointments, use allied health triage, perform medication reconciliation, and provide plain-language aftercare instructions.
3. Adopt functional testing: Integrate brief assessments of glare, contrast, and near vision under realistic lighting. Routinely ask about falls and home lighting.
4. Redesign communication: Simplify written materials to a 6th–8th grade reading level, use large-print formats, employ teach-back methods, and actively include caregivers.
5. Fix wayfinding: Ensure high-contrast markings, clear external signage, sheltered drop-off points, and accessible seating. Where possible, co-design signage with older users.
6. Integrate care: Establish standing referral templates to geriatrics, low-vision services, and rehabilitation, and implement shared care plans for complex patients.

GOVERNANCE AND MEASUREMENT: CHANGING WHAT WE VALUE

Sustainable change requires altering what clinics measure and reward. Beyond throughput and wait times, meaningful indicators include the proportion of older patients receiving functional assessment, medication reconciliation completion rates, documented caregiver involvement, and timely referral to integrated services. Quality improvement cycles that track these metric, incorporating patient and caregiver feedback, move clinics from well-intentioned to reliably effective.

CONCLUSION

If vision is foundational to healthy aging, then intentionally age-friendly clinic design is both a systems imperative and a clinical necessity. Designing ophthalmology clinics for older adults is not an act of sympathy but of systemic reliability. The cost of inaction is measured in preventable vision loss, avoidable morbidity, and lost independence. The necessary interventions-better signage, adjusted schedules, functional testing, clear communication, and integrated referrals-are often modest. Their implementation, however, requires a deliberate systems commitment: a realignment of workflows, incentives, and governance.

As ophthalmologists, we must recognise that clinic design itself is a form of clinical decision-making- one that quietly determines who is seen, who is heard, and who is harmed.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSORSHIP

Nil.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Dossantos J, Riddering AT, Ndjonko LCM, Choudhry HS, Gasquet N, Kong X, et al. Assessing eye clinic accessibility: a study validating and applying the SiteWise survey. Transl Vis Sci Technol. 2024;13(10):37.
2. Wu J, Liu X, Lu C, et al. A design framework of medical wayfinding signs for the elderly based on situational cognitive commonness. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(21):13885.
3. Siegel H, Böhringer D, Wacker K, et al. Duration of consultations in an outpatient ophthalmology unit: a retrospective analysis of influencing factors. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2023;120(27–28):481–482.
4. Bhorade AM, Perlmutter MS, Wilson B, et al. Differences in vision between clinic and home

and the effect of lighting in older adults with and without glaucoma. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2013;131(12):1554–1562.
5. Muir KW, Lee PP. Health literacy and ophthalmic patient education. Surv Ophthalmol. 2010;55(5):454–459.
6. Wu J, Zhang H, Shao J, et al. Healthcare for older adults with multimorbidity: a scoping review of reviews. Clin Interv Aging. 2023;18:1723–1735.
7. Dinarvand D, Panthakey J, Hassan A, et al. Frailty and visual impairment in elderly individuals: improving outcomes through collaborative care. Diseases. 2024;12(11):273.
8. Nowak MS, Smigielski J, Kępczyński I, et al. The prevalence of age-related eye diseases and cataract surgery among older adults. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:4350620.

How to cite this article: Bhartiya S, Aging Eyes in a Fast World: Are Our Clinics Designed for Older Patients? Ocul Res J 2025;2(1): 1-4.